

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 0 1 DEC 2004

Rec'd PCT/PTO 16 FEB 2005

WIPO

PCT

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's o	r agent's file reference T	FOR FURTHER A	CTION See Notification Preliminary	ation of Transmittal of International Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
nternational PCT/US 0	application No. 3/27156	International filing date 27.08.2003	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 29.08.2002
nternational 207D209/	•	IPC) or both national classification	and IPC	
Applicant MERCK 8	CO., INC. et al.	•	•	
I. This Auth	international prelimir ority and is transmitt	nary examination report has been ed to the applicant according to	en prepared by this Article 36.	International Preliminary Examining
2. This	REPORT consists o	f a total of 5 sheets, including t	this cover sheet.	
Thes	Lean amonded and	l are the basis for this report and d Section 607 of the Administra	dor sneets containi	ription, claims and/or drawings which have ng rectifications made before this Authority der the PCT).
	· .			
3. This	report contains indic	cations relating to the following	items:	
ı	☐ Basis of the o	pinion .	•	
	☐ Priority		•	•
113		nment of opinion with regard to	novelty, inventive s	tep and industrial applicability
IV				
V	——————————————————————————————————————			
VI	☐ Certain docu	•		•
VII Certain decements on the international application				
		rvations on the international ap		
		•		
Date of sul	omission of the deman	d	Date of completion	n of this report
22.03.20	04		30.11.2004	
Name and preliminan	mailing address of the examining authority:	international	Authorized Officer	Septimenes Peternes,
-	- European Patent C	Office	vanVoorsttotV	oorst.M
()	D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 -	0 Tx: 523656 epmu d		
<u> </u>	Fax: +49 89 2399	- 4465	Telephone No. +4	19 89 8339-8580

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US 03/27156

I.	Basis	of the	report
----	--------------	--------	--------

1. With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	cription, Pages					
	1-15	52	as originally filed				
	Clai	ims, Numbers					
	1-39		as originally filed				
2.	With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
	The	These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:					
		the language of a tra	nslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).				
		the language of publi	ication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3	nslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).				
3.	With	n regard to any nucle rnational preliminary o	otide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:				
		contained in the inter	mational application in written form.				
		☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
		furnished subsequer	itly to this Authority in written form.				
		The statement that the international a	ne subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure pplication as filed has been furnished.				
		The statement that the listing has been furnitude.	ne information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.				
4.	The	amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				
		the drawings,	sheets:				
5.		This report has been been considered to	established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).				
		(Any replacement sh report.)	neet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this				
6.	Add	litional observations, i	if necessary:				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US 03/27156

111.	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
1.	The obv	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
☐ the entire international applicat							
⊠ claims Nos. 29-38							
		because:					
the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 29-38 relate to the follo does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):							
		see separate sheet					
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclease that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):						
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opini could be formed.						
		no international search report	has be	en establish	ed for the said claims Nos.		
2.	or a	A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide ar or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions:					
	☐ the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.			ot comply with the Standard.			
		the computer readable form ha	as not	been furnish	ed or does not comply with the Standard.		
V.		easoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; tations and explanations supporting such statement					
1.	State	Statement					
	Nov	elty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-39		
Inve		ntive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-39		

1-28,39

Yes: Claims

No:

Claims

2. Citations and explanations

Industrial applicability (IA)

see separate sheet

AD SECTION III:

For the assessment of the present claims 29-38 on the question whether they are 1. industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

Claims 29-38 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).

AD SECTION V:

- Having regard to the prior art cited in the International Search Report, D1: WO 02/08188 A (MERCK & CO., INC.) 31 January 2002 (2002-01-31) D2: WO 01/30343 A (MERCK & CO., INC.) 3 May 2001 (2001-05-03) the subject-matter claimed appears to meet the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT. The compounds disclosed in D1 differ from the present compounds in that they possess the opposite substitution pattern on the indole group, i.e. on position 3 of the nucleus they have substituents corresponding to R1 of the present compounds and on position 1 they have substituents corresponding to R³. D2 discloses compounds of formula II which differ mainly on account of the nature of the -X-E group on position 2 of the indole group.
- 2. Closest prior art comprises the compounds disclosed in D1 and D2, which possess similar pharmacological properties as the present compounds. The problem to be solved was to provide further indole derivatives useful in the treatment of Type 2 diabetes mellitus and of conditions associated with this disease.

The Applicant has indicated on pages 26-29 of the description various biological assays useful for determining the pharmacological activities of the present compounds.

Having regard to the differences in substitution between the compounds claimed and those disclosed in documents D1 and D2 it is considered that the skilled

person faced with the above problem could not predicted with any certainty that the present compounds would be a solution to the technical problem defined above.

Therefore, the subject-matter according to claims 1-39 appears to meet the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.

- 3. It is noted that claims 1-27 are identical with claims 1-27 of your co-pending application US03/26677 and present claims 30, 35, 37 and 28 correspond to claims 28-31 of said application.
- 4. No objections with regard to Article 33(4) PCT arise for claims 1-28 and 39, however, see Section III above.